Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/524,358	BERGAYA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Matthew E. Hoban	1793		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origing the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period fo	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since a	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bettee appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed appeal.	isideration and/or search (see NOTw); er form for appeal by materially reconstructions or responding number of finally rejectives.	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl		
NOTE: <u>see continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co		ŕ	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) and how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 37-38, 40-62 and 64. 	will not be entered, or b) will will not be entered.	•	-	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	hafana an an Aba daka af Gilinu a Nici	stice of Appendix ill mate	. h	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>Note the attached Interview summary. Paper No. 20090729</u> .				
/J.A. LORENGO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793	/Matthew E Hoban/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793			

Continuation of 3 and 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The instantly amended claims have not been entered as these claims require an additional search as the subject matter of the claims is changed with the amendment (particularly to those amendments to the 'solid part'). Thus, discussion of the unentered amended claims is not appropriate at this time.